

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/474,096	12/29/1999	AMIT A. MERCHANT	219.37081X00	1863
20457	7590 03/24/20	33		
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			· EXAMINER	
			DONAGHUE, LARRY D	
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22209		ART UNIT	PAPÉR NUMBER
			2154	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)
	Application No. 09/474, 09/	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover shee	et beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	1	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by deference to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set 	a reply within the statutory mi ault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	inimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication .
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>-</u> .	•
☐ This action is FINAL .		
Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,		
Disposition of Claims		•
(Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s)		
		•
□ Claim(s) 1 - 1 9	are subject to restriction or election	
Application Papers		requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	wing Review. PTO-948.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		d 🗆 disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	jected to by the Examine	er.
$\hfill \square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. 	of the priority documents	s have been
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur		
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the		
□ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:		•
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*Certified copies not received:		□ Interview Summary, PTO-413
*Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	er No(s)	

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to replay system including a checker and a queue for temporally storing instruction which have not executed properly, classified in class 712, subclass 218.
- II. Claims 13-17, drawn to determine between instruction types or operation and on that basis routing the instruction back to the execution unit or to the temporally buffer, classified in class 712, subclass 225.
- III. Claims 18-19, drawn to in then instruction is a agent instruction and performing the operation of the replay system on that basis, classified in class 712, subclass 227.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, inventions I and III has separate utility such as in a system without agent instructions. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Inventions I and III and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, inventions I and III has separate utility such as system that doesn't require the determination between instruction types or operation and on that basis routing the instruction back to the execution unit or to the temporally buffer. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/474,096 Page 3

Art Unit: 2154

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for Groups II and III is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated

is proper.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Art Unit: 2154

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L. Donaghue whose telephone number is (703) 305-9675.

LARRY D. DONAGHUE PRIMARY EXAMINER

March 23, 2003